

Trends in US Primary Care Provider Patient Advice against Secondhand Smoke Exposure: 2008-2010

Judy Kruger^{1*}, Anna Teplinskaya¹, Michael C. Fiore²

¹Office on Smoking and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Atlanta, USA; ²Center for Tobacco Research and Intervention, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA.

Email: *jkruger@cdc.gov

Received March 6th, 2012; revised April 13th, 2012; accepted May 25th, 2012

ABSTRACT

Background: Recent studies further expose the dangers of secondhand smoke (SHS) to smokers and nonsmokers alike. The healthcare visit provides a face-to-face opportunity to deliver vital information about those dangers, yet, little is known about whether primary care providers (PCPs) address this topic with their patients in clinical practice. **Purpose:** We examined primary care providers' advice to patients regarding SHS exposure and the factors associated with such communication. **Methods:** Data from 3 years (2008, 2009, and 2010) of physician questionnaires (approximately 1250 family/general practitioners, internists, and obstetricians/gynecologists (OBs/GYNs) were used to examine physician advice to patients with children, to smokers and to nonsmokers to prevent or avoid SHS exposure. Using 2010 data we evaluated descriptive statistics and logistic regression for offering patient advice regarding SHS exposure. **Results:** Web-based data revealed that almost 90% of PCPs reported they advise patients with children to keep their children from being exposed to SHS, 80% advise patients who smoke to avoid exposing others to SHS in their homes and cars, and 70% advise nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS. Logistic regression analysis indicated that OB/GYNs were less likely to engage in SHS counseling than their family practice/general practitioner colleagues. **Conclusions:** Physician efforts advising patients to avoid SHS exposure varied little in 2008, 2009, and 2010. Primary care providers, particularly OB/GYNs, are encouraged to advise their patients during routine visits to avoid SHS exposure. More extensive tobacco education and targeted specialty guidelines may be required.

Keywords: Smoking Cessation; Physician; Counseling; Secondhand Smoke

1. Introduction

Tobacco use in any form jeopardizes health. Americans have been hearing this and other messages about the dangers of tobacco use for more than 50 years. The United States Department of Health and Human Services has documented overwhelming and conclusive biologic, epidemiologic, behavioral, and pharmacologic evidence to support that statement [1]. Information about the health consequences of smoking has continued to grow, with indisputable evidence suggesting more than 1000 people are killed every day in the United States because of the harmful effects of cigarettes, mostly by smoking-related diseases such as heart disease, stroke, chronic lung disease, and cancer [1].

According to the 2006 Surgeon's General Report, *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke*, exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS) adversely affects the cardiovascular system, and causes

coronary heart disease and lung cancer. Furthermore, no level of exposure is safe [2]. Evidence has shown that the concentrations of many cancer-causing and toxic chemicals are higher in SHS than in the smoke inhaled by smokers [2]. As a result, SHS causes harmful effects on the cardiovascular system, and can increase the risk for heart attack, even among nonsmokers [3].

In the 2010 Surgeon General's Report, *How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease*, researchers concluded that breathing SHS causes immediate damage to the lungs due to the inhalation of a multitude of fine particles, gases, and chemicals that result in irritation and inflammation of endothelial tissue (tissue lining body cavities) [4]. People living with chronic illnesses such as asthma, emphysema, chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or other respiratory conditions, may experience a worsening of symptoms (e.g. wheeze, shortness of breath, cough) when exposed to SHS [5]. It has been also determined that babies of pregnant women who

*Corresponding author.

are exposed to SHS may have decreased birth weight and have a higher risk of sudden infant death syndrome [2,6]. Because SHS exposure affects the air quality in the immediate surroundings, vulnerable populations—such as young children who reside in homes with parents who smoke—have higher prevalence of sudden infant death syndrome, ear problems, respiratory infections, and asthma attacks than those who live in nonsmoking homes [2,3].

One opportunity for providing advice about the dangers of SHS is the primary health care visit, where primary care providers (PCPs) can educate patients about the adverse outcomes of SHS exposure. Little is known about what health professionals say to patients regarding SHS exposure, so our research examines three situations: what providers say to patients with children, regarding the need to prevent exposure of the children to SHS; providers' advice to smokers, about the need to avoid exposing others to SHS in homes and cars; and providers' advice to nonsmokers about avoid SHS exposure. Using findings from a Web-based physician survey, we report on the prevalence in 2008, 2009, and 2010 of PCPs' advice to patients about SHS exposure.

2. Method

The physician sample of the DocStyles survey consisted of family/general practitioners, general internists, and obstetrician/gynecologists. Physicians responded to a series of identical questions on provider advice practices in each of the 3 years. DocStyles is an annual Web-based survey conducted by Epocrates Incorporated (<http://www.epocrates.com/honors>). Respondents were volunteers from the Epocrates Honors Panel, an opt-in panel of medical practitioners [7]. Other studies using DocStyles have reported details on the sampling methodology [8,9]. Each physician's first name, last name, date of birth, and medical school and graduation data were verified by comparison with the American Medical Association's (AMA's) master file at the time of panel registration. Epocrates randomly selected a sample of eligible physicians from their main database to load into their invitation database. The sample was drawn to match AMA master file proportions for age, gender, and region. Physicians were screened to include only those who practice in the United States; actively see patients; work in an individual, group, or hospital practice; and who have been practicing medicine for at least 3 years. Respondents were not required to participate and could exit the survey at any time. The estimated time for online completion of the survey varied by specialty and ranged from approximately 19 to 30 minutes. Invitations were sent out to the Web-based survey.

The DocStyles survey instrument was developed by

Porter Novelli with technical assistance provided by federal public health agencies and other non-profit and for-profit clients. The survey contained over 100 questions, some with multiple subparts. The survey was designed to provide insight into healthcare providers' attitudes and counseling behaviors on a variety of health issues. The 2008, 2009, and 2010 surveys were administered in July during each of the 3 years; the response rates were 22.0%, 45.8%, and 45.9%, respectively. Physicians were paid an honorarium of \$40 to \$95 for completing the survey.

2.1. Survey Items

PCP personal characteristics consisted of sex, specialty (e.g., family/general practitioner, internist, obstetrician/gynecologist), age (≤ 40 , > 40), and race/ethnicity (white, non-Hispanic, other). Practice characteristics such as number of patients seen per week (≤ 100 , > 100), number of years in practice (≤ 10 , > 10), and practice setting type (individual, group, hospital) were also collected. We examined reported data on all personal and practice characteristics provided.

Secondhand Smoke Strategies

Three questions probed PCPs about SHS exposure. First, physicians were asked, *Do you advise your patients with children to keep their children from being exposed to smoke from cigarettes or other tobacco products (that is, secondhand smoke)?* Respondents were asked two additional SHS questions: *Do you advise your patients who do not smoke tobacco or use other tobacco products to avoid being exposed to secondhand smoke?* and *Do you advise your patients who smoke tobacco or use other tobacco products to create smoke-free homes and cars?* Response options for all three questions were "yes" or "no".

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina) and SUDAAN (Version 9.0; Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC) was used to account for the random sample design. We compared the respondents with non-respondents and did not find any differences in the personal and practice characteristics. Prevalence (%) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported for advising against SHS exposure, and were categorized by PCP personal and practice characteristics. Multivariate logistic regression models were used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) for offering patients advice regarding SHS exposure (versus lack of advice). Using 2010 data, separate logistic regression models were run to examine individual comparisons (demographics, patients per week, years in practice and practice setting) for providing advice towards SHS ex-

posure. Statistical significance was determined at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

Data for each of the 3 years surveyed consisted of approximately 1250 health care providers (**Table 1**). The majority of the Web-based sample was male, family/general practitioners, over 40 years of age, and white non-Hispanic. We examined 100 patients per week or less, were in practice over 10 years, and were in group practice settings.

3.1. Advice to Patients Who Were Also Parents

The prevalence of advice to patients with children to keep their children from being exposed to SHS was high and remained high over the 3 years: 94.0% in 2008, 91.7% in 2009, and 93.6% in 2010 (**Table 2**). Among specific primary care specialties, the prevalence was lowest among obstetrician/gynecologists (OBs/GYNs): 86.6% (2008), 88.4% (2009), and 89.2% (2010). Among other racial/ethnic PCPs, the prevalence was 95.8% (2008), 88.4% (2009), and 91.7% (2010). The prevalence of PCPs' advice for those in practice 10 years or more was 92.5% (2008), 92.2% (2009), and 93.4% in 2010.

Table 1. Characteristics of primary care provider sample—DocStyles 2008-2010.

Characteristic	Sample year		
	2008	2009	2010
Overall	1250	1250	1250
Gender			
Male	959	877	839
Female	291	373	411
Specialty			
Family/general practitioner	510	609	539
General internist	490	391	461
Obstetrician/gynecologist	250	250	250
Age (years)			
≤40	518	482	461
>40	732	768	789
Race/ethnicity			
White non-Hispanic	910	885	886
Other ^a	290	318	364
Patients per week			
≤100	693	722	802
>100	557	528	448
Years in practice			
≤10	567	516	501
>10	683	732	749
Practice setting			
Individual	224	234	228
Group	808	792	771
Hospital	218	224	251

^aOther refers to Black, Hispanic, Asian, and other racial/ethnic groups.

3.2. Advice to Smokers

Overall, PCPs' advice to smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their homes and cars varied little over the 3-year period: 83.5% in 2008, 79.7% in 2009, and 85.5% in 2010 (**Table 3**). For this same period, among OBs/GYNs, the prevalence of asking smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their home and car was 74.7% in 2008, 72.8% in 2009, and 84.3% in 2010. Among PCPs over 40 years of age, the prevalence of asking smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their home and car was 81.5% in 2008, 80.6% in 2009, and 86.2% in 2010. For white non-Hispanic PCPs, the prevalence of asking smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their homes and cars was 83.9% in 2008, 80.2% in 2009, and 87.6% in 2010. For PCPs in practice for more than 10 years, the prevalence of asking smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their homes and cars was 81.5% in 2008, 81.1% in 2009, and 85.6% in 2010.

3.3. Advice to Nonsmokers

Among the SHS questions about PCP practices, the prevalence of advising nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS was lowest: 75.4% in 2008, 63.8% in 2009, and 71.9% in 2010 (**Table 4**). Over this same period, among internists, the prevalence of advising nonsmokers to avoid any exposure to SHS was 80.0% in 2008, 64.5% in 2009, and 72.0% in 2010. The prevalence of PCPs' advice to nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS among other race/ethnicity PCPs was 79.6% in 2008, 67.9% in 2009, and 72.3% in 2010. The prevalence of PCP advice practices to nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS among those in practice 10 years or less was 76.2% in 2008, 62.2% in 2009, and 70.4% in 2010. The prevalence of PCPs advice practices to nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS among those in individual practice settings was 79.9% in 2008, 68.4% in 2009, and 70.4% in 2010.

3.4. Comparisons of Practices by Sex, Specialty, and Other Factors

Logistic regression analysis of PCPs advice practices toward SHS in 2010 showed that female PCPs were more likely than male PCPs (**Table 5**) to provide advice to smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their homes and cars (OR = 1.65; 95% CI = 1.15 - 2.37). Internists were less likely than family/general practitioners to advise patients with children against SHS exposure (OR = 0.43; 95% CI = 0.23 - 0.78), and to advise smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their homes and cars (OR = 0.47; 95% CI = 0.32 - 0.69). OBs/GYNs were also less likely to advise patients with children against SHS exposure (OR = 0.27; 95% CI = 0.14 - 0.50), to advise to smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their homes and cars (OR = 0.55; 95%

Table 2. Estimates of advice to patients with children to keep their children from being exposed to secondhand smoke by primary care providers—DocStyles 2008-2010.

Characteristic	Advice to protect children from SHS exposure					
	2008		2009		2010	
	N	%, 95% CI	N	%, 95% CI	N	%, 95% CI
Overall	1164	94.0 (92.7 - 95.3)	1146	91.7 (90.1 - 93.2)	1157	93.6 (92.2 - 95.0)
Gender						
Male	888	93.5 (91.9 - 95.0)	807	92.0 (90.2 - 93.8)	771	93.2 (91.5 - 94.9)
Female	276	95.8 (93.5 - 98.1)	339	90.9 (88.0 - 93.8)	386	94.4 (92.1 - 96.6)
Specialty						
Family/general practitioner	498	97.8 (96.6 - 99.1)	589	69.72 (95.3 - 98.1)	518	96.8 (95.3 - 98.3)
Internist	452	93.8 (91.6 - 95.9)	336	86.0 (83.05 - 89.4)	417	92.3 (89.8 - 94.7)
Obstetrician/gynecologist	214	86.6 (82.4 - 90.9)	221	88.4 (84.4 - 92.4)	222	89.2 (85.3 - 93.0)
Age (years)						
≤40	486	95.7 (93.9 - 97.4)	444	92.1 (89.7 - 94.5)	422	93.0 (90.6 - 95.3)
>40	678	92.9 (91.0 - 94.7)	702	91.4 (89.4 - 93.4)	735	94.0 (92.3 - 95.7)
Race/ethnicity						
White non-Hispanic	843	93.4 (91.7 - 95.0)	820	92.7 (91.0 - 94.4)	826	94.4 (92.9 - 95.9)
Other ^a	274	95.8 (93.5 - 98.1)	286	89.4 (86.6 - 93.2)	331	91.7 (88.9 - 94.5)
Patients per week						
≤100	635	93.0 (91.0 - 94.9)	657	91.0 (88.9 - 93.1)	743	93.8 (92.1 - 95.5)
>100	529	95.3 (93.6 - 97.1)	489	92.6 (90.4 - 94.8)	414	93.2 (90.9 - 95.6)
Years in practice						
≤10	535	92.5 (90.5 - 94.5)	469	90.9 (88.4 - 93.4)	462	93.5 (91.4 - 95.7)
>10	629	92.5 (90.5 - 94.5)	677	92.2 (90.3 - 94.2)	695	93.4 (91.9 - 95.4)
Practice setting						
Individual	212	95.1 (92.2 - 97.9)	216	92.3 (88.9 - 95.7)	208	92.9 (89.5 - 96.2)
Group	751	93.6 (92.0 - 95.3)	737	93.1 (91.3 - 94.8)	719	94.0 (92.3 - 95.7)
Hospital	201	94.4 (91.3 - 97.5)	193	86.2 (81.6 - 90.7)	230	93.1 (90.0 - 96.3)

^aOther refers to Black, Hispanic, Asian, and other racial/ethnic groups.

Table 3. Estimates of advice to smokers to avoid secondhand smoke exposure in their home and car by primary care providers—DocStyles 2008-2010.

Characteristic	Advice against home and car SHS exposure					
	2008		2009		2010	
	N	%, 95% CI	N	%, 95% CI	N	%, 95% CI
Overall	1035	83.5 (81.4 - 85.5)	996	79.7 (77.4 - 81.9)	1059	85.5 (83.6 - 87.5)
Gender						
Male	785	82.7 (80.0 - 84.9)	687	78.3 (75.6 - 81.0)	695	83.8 (81.3 - 86.3)
Female	205	86.8 (82.9 - 90.7)	309	82.8 (79.0 - 86.7)	364	89.0 (86.0 - 92.0)
Specialty						
Family/general practitioner	447	88.5 (85.7 - 91.3)	514	84.4 (81.5 - 87.3)	485	90.5 (88.0 - 93.0)
Internist	402	82.7 (79.4 - 86.1)	300	76.7 (72.5 - 80.9)	365	80.4 (76.7 - 84.0)
Obstetrician/gynecologist	186	74.7 (69.3 - 80.1)	182	72.8 (67.3 - 78.3)	209	84.3 (79.7 - 88.8)
Age (years)						
≤40	442	86.3 (83.4 - 89.3)	377	78.2 (74.5 - 81.9)	384	84.4 (81.1 - 87.7)
>40	593	81.5 (78.6 - 84.3)	619	80.6 (77.8 - 83.4)	675	86.2 (83.8 - 88.6)
Race/ethnicity						
White non-Hispanic	759	83.9 (81.5 - 86.3)	710	80.2 (77.6 - 82.9)	768	87.6 (85.4 - 89.8)
Other ^a	234	81.8 (77.3 - 86.3)	252	79.3 (74.8 - 83.7)	291	80.6 (76.5 - 84.7)
Patients per week						
≤100	568	82.6 (79.7 - 85.4)	567	78.5 (75.5 - 81.5)	675	84.9 (82.4 - 87.4)
>100	467	84.6 (81.6 - 87.6)	429	81.3 (77.9 - 84.6)	384	86.7 (83.5 - 89.8)
Years in practice						
≤10	481	85.9 (83.0 - 88.8)	401	77.7 (74.1 - 81.3)	422	85.4 (82.3 - 88.5)
>10	554	81.5 (78.5 - 84.4)	595	81.1 (78.2 - 83.9)	637	85.6 (83.1 - 88.1)
Practice setting						
Individual	183	82.4 (77.4 - 87.4)	190	81.2 (76.2 - 86.2)	194	86.6 (82.1 - 91.1)
Group	676	84.2 (81.7 - 86.7)	632	79.8 (77.0 - 82.6)	648	84.7 (82.2 - 87.3)
Hospital	176	81.9 (76.7 - 87.0)	174	77.7 (72.2 - 83.1)	217	87.2 (83.0 - 91.3)

^aOther refers to Black, Hispanic, Asian, and other racial/ethnic groups.

Table 4. Estimates of advice to nonsmokers to avoid general exposure of secondhand smoke by primary care providers—DocStyles 2008-2010.

Characteristic	Advice to nonsmokers to avoid SHS exposure					
	2008		2009		2010	
	N	%, 95% CI	N	%, 95% CI	N	%, 95% CI
Overall	934	75.4 (73.0 - 77.8)	798	63.8 (61.2 - 66.5)	889	71.9%, (69.4 - 74.4)
Gender						
Male	707	74.3 (71.5 - 77.0)	557	63.5 (60.3 - 66.7)	589	71.0 (67.9 - 74.1)
Female	227	79.4 (74.7 - 84.1)	241	64.6 (59.8 - 69.5)	300	73.7 (69.4 - 78.0)
Specialty						
Family/general practitioner	390	77.3 (73.6 - 80.9)	403	66.2 (62.4 - 67.0)	411	76.8 (73.2 - 80.4)
Internist	387	80.0 (76.3 - 83.5)	255	64.5 (59.7 - 69.2)	326	72.0 (67.8 - 76.1)
Obstetrician/gynecologist	157	63.1 (57.1 - 69.0)	143	57.2 (51.1-63.3)	152	61.0 (55.0 - 67.1)
Age (years)						
≤40	392	76.9 (73.2 - 80.5)	300	62.2 (57.9 - 66.6)	326	71.5 (67.3 - 75.6)
>40	542	74.5 (71.3 - 77.6)	498	64.8 (61.5 - 68.2)	563	72.1 (68.9 - 75.2)
Race/ethnicity						
White non-Hispanic	658	73.2 (70.3 - 76.1)	547	61.8 (58.6 - 65.0)	628	71.7 (68.7 - 74.7)
Other ^a	230	79.6 (74.9 - 84.2)	216	67.9 (62.8 - 73.1)	261	72.3 (67.7 - 76.9)
Patients per week						
≤100	501	73.1 (69.8 - 76.5)	434	60.1 (56.5 - 63.7)	562	71.0 (67.8 - 74.1)
>100	433	78.3 (74.9 - 81.7)	364	68.9 (65.0 - 72.9)	327	73.5 (69.4 - 77.6)
Years in practice						
≤10	426	76.2 (72.8 - 79.7)	321	62.2 (58.0 - 66.4)	347	70.4 (66.4 - 74.4)
>10	508	74.8 (71.5 - 78.1)	477	65.0 (61.5 - 68.4)	542	72.9 (69.7 - 76.0)
Practice setting						
Individual	179	79.9 (74.7 - 85.2)	160	68.4 (62.4 - 74.3)	159	70.4 (64.4 - 76.3)
Group	587	73.5 (70.4 - 76.5)	504	63.6 (60.3 - 67.0)	548	71.6 (68.4 - 74.2)
Hospital	168	78.1 (72.6 - 83.7)	134	59.8 (53.4 - 66.2)	182	74.0 (68.5 - 79.5)

^aOther refers to Black, Hispanic, Asian, and other racial/ethnic groups.

Table 5. Logistic regression of primary care providers' advice towards exposure of secondhand smoke—DocStyles, 2010.

Characteristic	Effect of advice		
	Protect children from SHS exposure	Against home and car SHS exposure	Nonsmokers to avoid SHS exposure
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Gender			
Male	Reference	Reference	Reference
Female	1.28 (0.77 - 2.13)	1.68 (1.16 - 2.43) [*]	1.21 (0.92 - 1.60)
Specialty			
Family/general practitioner	Reference	Reference	Reference
Internist	0.43 (0.24 - 0.80) [*]	0.46 (0.31 - 0.69) [*]	0.79 (0.58 - 1.07)
Obstetrician/gynecologist	0.25 (0.14 - 0.47) [*]	0.56 (0.35 - 0.90) [*]	0.45 (0.32 - 0.62) [*]
Age (years)			
≤40	Reference	Reference	Reference
>40	1.17 (0.59 - 2.33)	1.16 (0.72 - 1.88)	0.86 (0.59 - 1.25)
Race/ethnicity			
White non-Hispanic	Reference	Reference	Reference
Other ^a	0.66 (0.41 - 1.07)	0.58 (0.41 - 0.81) [*]	1.03 (0.78 - 1.37)
Patients per week			
≤100	Reference	Reference	Reference
>100	0.86 (0.54 - 1.38)	1.20 (0.84 - 1.71)	1.15 (0.88 - 1.51)
Years in practice			
≤10	Reference	Reference	Reference
>10	0.98 (0.49 - 1.96)	0.98 (0.60 - 1.60)	1.39 (0.97 - 1.99)
Practice setting			
Individual	Reference	Reference	Reference
Group	1.32 (0.71 - 2.47)	0.91 (0.59 - 1.43)	1.12 (0.80 - 1.57)
Hospital	1.32 (0.60 - 2.91)	1.48 (0.83 - 2.65)	1.19 (0.76 - 1.86)

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio. OR adjusted for sex, age, race/ethnicity. ^aOther refers to Black, Hispanic, Asian, and other racial/ethnic groups. ^{*}Significant difference, $p < 0.05$.

CI = 0.35 - 0.87), and to provide advice to nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS (OR = 0.47; 95% CI = 0.34 - 0.65) compared with family/general practitioners. Other race/ethnicity PCPs were also less likely than whites to provide advice to smokers to avoid SHS exposure in their homes and cars (OR = 0.58; 95% CI = 0.41 - 0.81). Logistic regression analyses were performed in 2008 and 2009 and similar patterns were found (data not shown).

4. Discussion

These Web-based data show that nearly all physicians reported that they discuss SHS risks with their patients. Overwhelmingly, as many as 90% of PCP advised patients with children to keep their children from being exposed to SHS, 80% advised patients who smoke to avoid exposing others to SHS in their homes and cars, and 70% advised nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS. Although there are few other national studies on this topic, Burnett and Young found that 96% of providers reported that they sometimes/often screen for any SHS exposure [10]. Physicians are looking for opportunities to more consistently address, in their clinical practices, the health risks of SHS [11]. Since patients are open to SHS counseling and adults who are counseled on SHS exposure report higher rates of satisfaction with their care, PCPs should screen for tobacco use and address SHS risks.

Since the mid-2000s, there has been an increased awareness of the effects of SHS [2], which likely has contributed to recommendations to clinicians to address this issue with their patients. Historically, the first government report to conclude that SHS caused disease was the 1986 Surgeon's General Report [12]; this was updated in 2006 with a second Surgeon General's Report dedicated to the adverse health effects of SHS [2]. Additionally, primary care medical societies have called on their physician members to address the dangers of SHS with their patients. For example, in a 2009 policy statement the American Academy of Pediatrics recommended documentation of SHS exposure at all clinical encounters [13]; the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists 2010 committee opinion recommended that pregnant women avoid SHS exposure [14], and the 2009 position paper by the American Academy of Family Practice recommended that their physicians counsel patients to avoid establishments that permit smoking and to request that family members not smoke in their homes or vehicles [15]. Finally, the Public Health Service Update in 2008, *Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence*, recommended that clinicians screen for tobacco use and address SHS risks with their primary care patients [16].

While helpful, these recommendations vary across the

physician specialty groups by the specific clinical actions proposed and the degree to which procedures are emphasized for specialty members. It is also possible that training of family/general practitioners concentrates more on preventive medicine, which may explain why these specialties advise patients against tobacco more often than internists or obstetrician/gynecologists. Family/general practitioners regularly encounter a high volume of patients and frequently deliver primary care to children, which may account for higher prevalence of advice against home and car exposures and general exposure among nonsmokers. Further, clarity and harmonization are needed among various recommendations for SHS exposure as it relates to tobacco control efforts. Findings from a review on the treatment of smokers in the health care setting [17] suggests that it would be advantageous to society if physicians would incorporate advice towards reducing SHS exposure into their counseling strategy.

Several limitations apply to this study. First, because data were obtained from convenience samples of self-selected healthcare providers who responded to the e-mail invitation to be part of the Epocrates Honor Panel; thus, our findings are not necessarily generalizable beyond responders in terms of being nationally representative. Second, self-reported data may introduce social desirability bias of prevention practices among physicians. Self-reported data have also been found to overestimate observational study findings [18,19]. Third, we cannot make inferences about physicians' routine practices in advising about exposure to SHS, as these data do not ask about frequency of counseling, amount of tobacco products, or number of years patients were using tobacco. Also, since the questions relied on recall, they may not accurately capture providers' actual behavior. Fourth, the response rates reported in this web-based survey are comparable to other studies that have used mail-in surveys [20,21], but there still may be a nonresponse bias due to relatively low response rates. However, this is the first analysis that we are aware of that examines the prevalence of PCPs practices in advising on SHS exposure over time in recent years. The strength of our study is the large number of PCPs participating each year; this facilitated generation of estimates by demographic and practice characteristics on the reporting of providing SHS advice.

5. Conclusions

Findings suggest that a high proportion of primary care physicians address their patients SHS exposure risk. In this sample, we found that PCP advice practices included: advising patients with children to keep their children from being exposed to SHS (94.0% in 2008, 91.7% in 2009 and 93.4% in 2010); advising patients who smoke

to avoid exposing others to SHS in their homes and cars (83.5% in 2008, 79.7% in 2009 and 85.5% in 2010); and advising nonsmokers to avoid general exposure to SHS (75.4% in 2008, 63.8% in 2009 and 71.9% in 2010).

Based on our finding that OBs/GYNs are less likely to engage in SHS counseling than other health care providers, promotion of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommendations [14], in addition to further investigative solutions for ways to improve counseling rates, are needed. Because of the health consequences of SHS exposure, PCPs should be encouraged to address SHS exposure consistently with their patients.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Deanne Weber for providing technical assistance with the data.

7. Conflict of Interest Statement

Drs. Kruger and Teplinskaya have no relevant conflict of interest to report. Dr. Fiore reports that, over the last year, he participated in a research study on smoking cessation that was funded in part by NABI Pharmaceuticals. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

REFERENCES

- [1] DHHS, "A Report of the Surgeon General: How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: What It Means to You," CDC, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, Atlanta, 2010.
http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2010/index.htm
- [2] DHHS, "The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General," CDC, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, Atlanta, 2006.
http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/2006/index.htm
- [3] Institute of Medicine, "Secondhand Smoke Exposure and Cardiovascular Effects: Making Sense of the Evidence," National Academy of Sciences, Institute of Medicine, Washington, 2010.
http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12649
- [4] DHHS, "How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease: A Report of the Surgeon General," CDC, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, Atlanta, 2010.
http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/index.htm
- [5] R. E. Schane and S. A. Glantz, "Education on the Dangers of Passive Smoking: A Cessation Strategy Past Due," *Circulation*, Vol. 18, No. 15, 2008, pp. 1521-1523.
[doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.805259](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.805259)
- [6] Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, "The Guide to Clinical Preventive Services 2010-2011: Recommendations of the US Preventive Services Task Force," Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2011, pp. 148-149.
<http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/pocketgd1011/pocketgd1011.pdf>
- [7] P. Novelli, "DocStyles 2010: Methods," Porter Novelli, Washington, 2010.
- [8] H. R. Wethington, B. Sherry and B. Polhamus, "Physician Practices Related to Use of BMI-for-Age and Counseling for Childhood Obesity Prevention: A Cross-Sectional Study," *BMC Family Practice*, Vol. 12, No. 80, 2011, pp. 1-9.
- [9] K. I. Day, D. B. Friedman, J. N. Laditka, L. A. Anderson, R. Hunter, S. B. Laditka, B. Wu, L. C. McGuire and M. C. Coy, "Prevention of Cognitive Impairment: Physician Perceptions and Practices," *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 2011, pp. 1-12.
- [10] K. F. Burnett and P. C. Young, "Ask, Advise, Assist: Pediatric and Passive Smoke Exposure," *Clinical Pediatrics*, Vol. 38, No. 6, 1999, pp. 339-345.
[doi:10.1177/000992289903800604](https://doi.org/10.1177/000992289903800604)
- [11] G. C. Williams, S. A. Williams and R. J. Korn, "Secondhand Smoke (SHS) Deserves More than Secondhand Attention: Modifying the 5As Model to Include Counseling to Eliminate Exposure," *Families Systems & Health*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2005, pp. 266-277.
[doi:10.1037/1091-7527.23.3.266](https://doi.org/10.1037/1091-7527.23.3.266)
- [12] USDHHS, "The Health Consequences of Using Smokeless Tobacco: A Report of the Advisory Committee to the Surgeon General," US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Bethesda, 1986.
<http://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/ps/access/NNBBFC.pdf>
- [13] American Academy of Pediatrics, "Policy Statement—Tobacco Use: A Pediatric Disease," *Pediatrics*, Vol. 124, No. 5, 2009, pp. 1474-1475. [doi:10.1542/peds.2009-2114](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-2114)
- [14] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, "Committee Opinion, No. 471," *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, Vol. 116, No. 5, 2010, pp. 1241-1244.
- [15] American Academy of Family Physicians, "Tobacco Use and Prevention—Position Paper," American Academy of Family Physicians, 2012.
http://www.aafp.org/online/en/home/policy/policies/t/tobacco_prevention.html
- [16] M. C. Fiore, C. R. Jaen, T. B. Baker, *et al.*, "Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update: Clinical Practice Guidelines," US Department of Health and Human Services, Rockville, 2008, p. 69.
http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/tobacco/treating_tobacco_use08.pdf
- [17] M. C. Fiore and T. B. Baker, "Treating Smokers in the Health Care Setting," *New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 365, No. 13, 2011, pp. 1222-1231.
[doi:10.1056/NEJMcp1101512](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMcp1101512)
- [18] M. B. Conroy, N. E. Majchrzak, C. B. Silverman, Y

Chang, S. Regan, L. I. Schneider and N. A. Rigotti, "Measuring Provider Adherence to Tobacco Treatment Guidelines: A Comparison of Electronic Medical Record Review, Patient Survey, and Provider Survey," *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, Vol. 7, Suppl. 1, 2005, pp. S35-S43. [doi:10.1080/14622200500078089](https://doi.org/10.1080/14622200500078089)

- [19] W. E. Pollard, "Use of a Consumer Panel Survey for Public Health Communication Planning: An Evaluation of Survey Results," *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Health Policy Statistics*, New York, 2002, pp. 2120-2124.
- [20] D. Weber, L. S. Wolff, T. Orleans, R. E. Mockenhaupt, H. A. Massett and K. K. Vose, "Smokers' Attitudes and Behaviors Related to Consumer Demand for Cessation Counseling in the Medical Care Setting," *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, Vol. 9, Suppl. 4, 2007, pp. 571-580. [doi:10.1080/14622200701189024](https://doi.org/10.1080/14622200701189024)
- [21] L. S. Wolff, H. A. Massett, E. W. Maibach, D. Weber, R. E. Mockenhaupt and S. Hassmiller, "Validating a Health Consumer Segmentation Model: Behavioral and Attitudinal Differences in Disease Prevention-Related Practices," *Journal of Health Communication*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2010, pp. 167-188. [doi:10.1080/10810730903528041](https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730903528041)